

# Lagrange Interpolation Based at the Zeros of Orthonormal Polynomials with Freud Weights

R. Sakai

*Asuke Senior High School, Kawahara 5, Yagami, Asuke-cho, Higashikamo,  
Aichi 444-24, Japan*

*Communicated by D. S. Lubinsky*

Received June 10, 1996; accepted December 3, 1996

Let  $L_n(f; x)$  be the Lagrange interpolation polynomial to  $f$  at the zeros of the orthonormal polynomial of degree  $n$  for the Freud weight  $W_Q$  with an exponent  $Q$ . We have the following. Let  $W(\geq 0) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $0 < p < \infty$  be given. If for every continuous function  $f$  vanishing outside a finite interval

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(x) - L_n(f; x)|^p W(x)] dx = 0$$

holds, then we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1 + |x|)]^p W(x) dx < \infty.$$

© 1998 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $Q$  be an even, continuous, and real-valued function defined on the real line  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ , and let  $Q' \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $Q'(x) > 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , and  $Q''$  be continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, we assume that for certain constants  $1 < A \leq B$ ,

$$A \leq \{(d/dx)(xQ'(x))\}/Q'(x) \leq B, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

We call the function  $Q(x)$  a Freud exponent, and then we consider what is called a Freud weight

$$W_Q^2(x) = \exp\{-Q(x)\}. \tag{1.1}$$

We note that if  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $W_\alpha^2(x) = \exp(-|x|^\alpha)$  is a Freud weight. The Mhaskar–Rahmanov–Saff number  $a_u$  is defined as the positive root of the equation

$$u = (2/\pi) \int_0^1 a_u t Q'(a_u t) (1-t^2)^{-(1/2)} dt, \quad u > 0.$$

The number  $a_u$  plays an important role in the study of the approximation theory. Let  $\Pi_n$  denote the class of real polynomials of degree at most  $n$ , and let  $\{p_n(x)\} = \{p_n(W_Q^2; x)\}$ ,  $p_n \in \Pi_n$  be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to  $W_Q^2$ , that is,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_m(x) p_n(x) W_Q^2(x) dx = \delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 0, & m \neq n, \\ 1, & m = n. \end{cases}$$

We denote the zeros of  $P_n(x)$  by  $x_{kn}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where

$$x_{nn} < x_{n-1, n} < \dots < x_{1n}.$$

Then, for a given  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  the Lagrange interpolation polynomial  $L_n(f)$  based at the zeros  $\{x_{kn}\}$  of  $P_n(x)$  is defined to be a unique polynomial in  $\Pi_{n-1}$  such that

$$L_n(f; x_{kn}) = f(x_{kn}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Nevai obtained the following.

**NEVAI'S THEOREM ([12]).** *Let  $L_n(f; x)$  denote the Lagrange interpolation polynomial at the zeros of  $P_n(W_2^2; x)$  for the weight  $W_2^2(x) = \exp(-x^2)$ . Let  $W(\geq 0) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $0 < p < \infty$  be given. Suppose that for every continuous function  $f$  vanishing outside a finite interval,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x) - L_n(f; x)|^p W(x) dx = 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\exp(x^2/2)/(1+|x|)]^p W(x) dx < \infty.$$

In this paper we extend Nevai's Theorem for the Freud weight (1.1).

**THEOREM.** *Let  $W(\geq 0) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $0 < p < \infty$  be given. If for every continuous function  $f$  vanishing outside a finite interval*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(x) - L_n(f; x)|^p W(x)] dx = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

*holds, then we have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [W_{\mathcal{Q}}^{-1}(x)/(1 + |x|)]^p W(x) dx < \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

If in the theorem we consider especially the case of  $W(x) = W_{\mathcal{Q}}^p(x)(1 + |x|)^{-\Delta p}$ ,  $\Delta > 1/p - 1$ , then obviously we have (1.3). In this case, that is,  $W(x) = W_{\mathcal{Q}}^p(x)(1 + |x|)^{-\Delta p}$ , Lubinsky and Matijala have obtained a complete solution as follows.

**THEOREM OF LUBINSKY-MATIJALA ([9]).** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\hat{\alpha} = \min(1, \alpha)$ . Then for*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\{f(x) - L_n(f; x)\} W_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)(1 + |x|)^{-\Delta}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

*to hold for every continuous function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| W_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)(1 + |x|)^{\alpha} = 0,$$

*if  $p \leq 4$ , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\Delta > -\hat{\alpha} + 1/p;$$

*and if  $p > 4$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$ , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$a_n^{1/p - (\hat{\alpha} + \Delta)} n^{(1/6)(1 - 4/p)} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty;$$

*and if  $p > 4$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$a_n^{1/p - (\hat{\alpha} + \Delta)} n^{(1/6)(1 - 4/p)} = O(1/\log n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Our theorem asserts that if for a certain  $W(\geq 0) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [W_{\mathcal{Q}}^{-1}(x)/(1 + |x|)]^p W(x) dx = \infty$$

holds, then for a continuous function  $f$  we see that  $L_n(f)$  does not converge to  $f$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(x) - L_n(f; x)|^p W(x)] dx \neq 0.$$

## 2. FUNDAMENTAL LEMMAS

Throughout this paper  $c$  will denote a positive constant independent of  $n$  and  $x$ , and the letter  $c$  will denote a constant which may differ at each different occurrence, even in the chain of inequalities. Let  $c(a, b, \dots)$  mean a constant depending on  $a, b, \dots$ . By  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  we denote  $c_1 \leq f(x)/g(x) \leq c_2$  for certain positive constants  $c_1, c_2$ , and for all relevant  $x$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $|x| \leq ca_n$  for certain constants  $c > 0$ . Then we have*

$$W_Q(x) \sim W_Q(x') \quad \text{for } |x - x'| \leq \kappa a_n/n. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* For  $|x - x'| \leq \kappa a_n/n$  ( $|x|, |x'| \leq ca_n$ ) we see

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(x) - Q(x')| &\leq |Q'(\xi)| \kappa a_n/n \quad (x < \xi < x') \\ &\leq |Q'(ca_n)| \kappa a_n/n \\ &\leq c(\kappa) \end{aligned}$$

by [6, Lemma 5.1(c)]. Consequently, we see

$$\begin{aligned} W_Q(x)/W_Q(x'), W_Q(x')/W_Q(x) &\leq \exp \{ |Q(x) - Q(x')| \} \\ &\leq \exp \{ c(\kappa) \}, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.1) follows. ■

Let  $\{p_n(x)\} = \{p_n(W_Q^2; x)\}$  be the orthonormal polynomials with respect to  $W_Q^2$ , and let  $r_n = \gamma_{n-1}/\gamma_n$ , where  $\gamma_n$  is the leading coefficient of  $p_n(x)$ , that is,  $p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots$ . The following lemma is useful for an estimate of values of  $p'_n(x)$ .

LEMMA 2.2 (cf. [2, Theorem 5], [10, Theorem 3.2]). *We have an equation*

$$p'_n(x) = A_n(x) p_{n-1}(x) - B_n(x) p_n(x),$$

where

$$A_n(x) = r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n^2(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt,$$

$$B_n(x) = r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(t) p_{n-1}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt,$$

and

$$\bar{Q}(x, t) = \{Q'(x) - Q'(t)\}/(x - t).$$

*Proof.* We can write  $p'_n(x)$  in the Fourier expansion in terms of the reproducing kernel  $K_n(x, t)$  as

$$p'_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p'_n(t) K_n(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x, t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(x) p_k(t) \\ &= r_n \{p_n(x) p_{n-1}(t) - p_n(t) p_{n-1}(x)\}/(x - t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(t) \{(d/dt) K_n(x, t)\} W_Q^2(t) dt = 0$ , by (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} p'_n(x) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(t) K_n(x, t) \{(d/dt) W_Q^2(t)\} dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(t) K_n(x, t) Q'(t) W_Q^2(t) dt \\ &= -r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(t) \{p_n(x) p_{n-1}(t) - p_n(t) p_{n-1}(x)\} \\ &\quad \times \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt \\ &= \left\{ r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n^2(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt \right\} p_{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad - \left\{ r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(t) p_{n-1}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt \right\} p_n(x) \\ &= A_n(x) p_{n-1}(x) - B_n(x) p_n(x). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $|x| \leq \delta a_n$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ). Then for a certain constant  $c > 0$ ,

$$|p'_n(x)| W_Q(x) \leq c n a_n^{-3/2}$$

holds.

*Proof.* Since by [6, (12.21)] and [6, Theorem 12.3(b)] we have

$$A_n(x) \sim n/r_n \sim n/a_n \quad (|x| \leq 2a_n),$$

we see that by Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n(x)| &\leq \left[ r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n^2(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[ r_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n-1}^2(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) W_Q^2(t) dt \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq c n / a_n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by [6, Corollary 1.4],

$$|p_n(x)| W_Q(x), |p_{n-1}(x)| W_Q(x) \leq c a_n^{-1/2} \quad (|x| \leq \delta a_n).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.2 if  $|x| \leq \delta a_n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |p'_n(x)| W_Q(x) &\leq |A_n(x)| |p_{n-1}(x)| W_Q(x) + |B_n(x)| |p_n(x)| W_Q(x) \\ &\leq c n a_n^{-3/2}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $|x_{jn}|, |x_{j-1, n}| \leq \delta a_n$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ): and let

$$|p_n(\bar{x}_{jn})| = \max_{x_{jn} \leq x \leq x_{j-1, n}} |p_n(x)|, \quad x_{jn} < \bar{x}_{jn} < x_{j-1, n}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then we have

$$(i) \quad |p_n(\bar{x}_{jn})| W_Q(\bar{x}_{jn}) \sim a_n^{-1/2},$$

and

$$(ii) \quad |\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}|, |\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{j-1, n}| \sim a_n/n, \quad (2.5)$$

that is,

$$(iii) \quad x_{j-1, n} - x_{jn} \sim a_n/n.$$

*Proof.* (i) By [6, Corollary 1.3], for  $x_{jn} < x_{j,n+1} < x_{j-1,n}$ , where  $|x_{jn}|, |x_{j-1,n}| \leq \delta a_n$ , we see

$$|p_n(x_{j,n+1})| W_Q(x_{j,n+1}) \sim a_n^{-1/2}. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, [6, Corollary 1.4] means

$$|p_n(x)| W_Q(x) \leq ca_n^{-1/2} \quad (|x| \leq \delta a_n).$$

Therefore we have (i).

(ii) From (i) we see

$$\begin{aligned} ca_n^{-1/2}/(\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}) &\leq |p_n(\bar{x}_{jn})| W_Q(\bar{x}_{jn})/(\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}) \\ &= |p'_n(\xi)| W_Q(\bar{x}_{jn}) \quad (x_{jn} < \xi < \bar{x}_{jn}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Since by [6, Corollary 1.2 (b)] we see

$$|\bar{x}_{jn} - \xi| \leq \kappa a_n/n$$

for some  $\kappa > 0$ , Lemma 2.1 means  $W_Q(\bar{x}_{jn}) \leq cW_Q(\xi)$ . Thus from (2.7) and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$ca_n^{-1/2}/(\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}) \leq c |p'_n(\xi)| W_Q(\xi) \leq cna_n^{-3/2},$$

that is,

$$ca_n/n \leq |\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}|.$$

Consequently, we obtain  $|\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}| \sim a_n/n$ , and similarly  $|\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{j-1,n}| \sim a_n/n$ .

(iii) It is trivial from (2.5).  $\blacksquare$

The following lemma is important itself. It gives certain exact values of  $p_n(x)$  in each interval

$$I_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon) = [x_{jn} + \varepsilon a_n/n, x_{j-1,n} - \varepsilon a_n] \cap [-\delta a_n, \delta a_n]. \quad (2.8)$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $I_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon)$  be defined in (2.8). For each  $0 < \delta < 1$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$x_{jn} + \varepsilon a_n/n < x_{j-1,n} - \varepsilon a_n/n, \quad (2.9)$$

whenever  $|x_{jn}|, |x_{j-1,n}| \leq \delta a_n$ . Then

$$|p_n(x)| W_Q(x) \sim a_n^{-1/2}, \quad x \in I_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon), \quad (2.10)$$

holds uniformly with respect to all  $I_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon) \neq \phi$ .

*Remark.* We can also give certain exact values of  $p'_n(x)$  in each interval

$$\bar{I}_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon) = [x_{jn} - \varepsilon a_n/n, x_{jn} + \varepsilon a_n/n] \cap [-\delta a_n, \delta a_n].$$

For each  $0 < \delta < 1$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|p'_n(x)| W_Q(x) \sim na_n^{-3/2}, \quad x \in \bar{I}_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon),$$

holds uniformly with respect to all  $\bar{I}_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon) \neq \phi$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.5.* (i) It follows from Lemma 2.4(iii) that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that (2.9) holds for every  $j$  satisfying  $|x_{jn}|, |x_{j-1, n}| \leq \delta a_n$ . Let  $I_{jn}(\delta, \varepsilon) \neq \phi$ , then  $\bar{x}_{jn} \in [x_{jn}, x_{j-1, n}]$  is defined in (2.4). In each interval  $(x_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn})$  or  $(\bar{x}_{jn}, x_{j-1, n})$  the polynomial  $p_n(x)$  has at most one inflection point. We shall first consider  $p_n(x)$  in  $[x_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn}]$ . Then we have one of two following cases.

(a)  $|p_n(x)|$  is concave on  $[x_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn}]$ .

(b) There exists  $x_{jn} < x'_{jn} < \bar{x}_{jn}$  such that  $|p_n(x)|$  is convex on  $[x_{jn}, x'_{jn}]$ , and concave on  $[x'_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn}]$ .

Let us now define the line

$$y = \{ |p_n(\bar{x}_{jn})| / (\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}) \} (x - x_{jn}).$$

Then for the case of (a) we see

$$\{ |p_n(\bar{x}_{jn})| / (\bar{x}_{jn} - x_{jn}) \} (x - x_{jn}) \leq |p_n(x)|, \quad x \in [x_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn}]. \quad (2.11)$$

We shall treat the case of (b). If (2.11) is not correct, then we consider the line

$$y = |p'_n(x_{jn})| (x - x_{jn}),$$

and so we see

$$|p'_n(x_{jn})| (x - x_{jn}) \leq |p_n(x)|, \quad x \in [x_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn}]. \quad (2.12)$$

Using Lemma 2.4(i), (ii) and Lemma 2.1, the inequality (2.11) means

$$cna_n^{-3/2}(x - x_{jn}) \leq |p_n(x)| W_Q(x), \quad x \in [x_{jn}, \bar{x}_{jn}]. \quad (2.13)$$

If (2.12) holds, then by Lemma 2.1 and [6, Corollary 1.3] we also obtain (2.13). Hence if  $x_{jn} + \varepsilon a_n/n \leq x \leq \bar{x}_{jn}$ , then for a constant  $c(\varepsilon)$

$$0 < c(\varepsilon) a_n^{-1/2} \leq |p_n(x)| W_Q(x). \quad (2.14)$$



On the other hand, from [6, Corollary 1.4]

$$|p_n(x)| W_Q(x) \leq ca_n^{-1/2}, \quad |x| \leq \delta a_n,$$

that is, with (2.14) we have for  $x \in [x_{jn} + \varepsilon a_n/n, \bar{x}_{jn}]$

$$|p_n(x)| W_Q(x) \sim a_n^{-1/2}. \quad (2.15)$$

Similarly, for  $x \in [\bar{x}_{jn}, x_{j-1,n} - \varepsilon a_n/n]$  we also have (2.15). Therefore, we obtain (2.10). ■

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM

Now, we shall prove the theorem. The proof is along the same lines as Nevai's.

*Proof of Theorem.* We consider the space  $C_0(-2, -1)$  which consists of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with support in  $[-2, -1]$ . By our assumption, (1.2) is satisfied for  $f \in C_0(-2, -1)$ . Hence for the linear functional  $L_n(f)$  on  $C_0(-2, -1)$  we can apply the uniform boundedness theorem (cf. Theorem 10.19 of [11, p. 182]), and so for  $f \in C_0(-2, -1)$  we have

$$\int_{-2}^{-1} |L_n(f; x)|^p W(x) dx \leq c \max_{-2 \leq x \leq -1} |f(x)|^p. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $\{p_n(x)\}$  be the orthonormal polynomials with respect to the weight  $W_Q^2(x)$ , and for each  $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$  let us consider a function  $g_n \in C_0(-2, -1)$  such that

$$\max_{-2 \leq x \leq -1} |g_n(x)| = 1, \quad g_n(x_{kn}) = \text{sign } p'_n(x_{kn}), \quad x_{kn} \in (-2, -1).$$

Then we see

$$L_n(g_n; x) = p_n(x) \sum_{-2 \leq x_{kn} \leq -1} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-1} (x - x_{kn})^{-1}.$$

By [6, Corollary 1.3]

$$|p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-1} \sim n^{-1} a_n^{3/2}, \quad -2 \leq x_{kn} \leq -1,$$

and Lemma 2.4(iii)

$$\text{Num. } [\{k; x_{kn} \in [-2, -1]\}] \sim n/a_n,$$

where  $\text{Num.}[S]$  denotes the number of elements of the set  $S$ . Thus for  $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(g_n; x)| &\geq c(1+x)^{-1} |p_n(x)| n^{-1} a_n^{3/2} n a_n^{-1} \\ &= c a_n^{1/2} (1+x)^{-1} |p_n(x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} |a_n^{1/2} p_n(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \\ &\leq c \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} |L_n(g_n; x)|^p W(x) dx \\ &\leq c \max_{-2 \leq x \leq -1} |g_n(x)|^p \\ &= c < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} I_{jn} &= I_{jn}(\varepsilon) = [x_{jn} + \varepsilon a_n/n, x_{j-1, n} - \varepsilon a_n/n], \\ \bar{I}_{jn} &= \bar{I}_{jn}(\varepsilon) = [x_{j-1, n} - \varepsilon a_n/n, x_{j-1, n} + \varepsilon a_n/n], \quad j = 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ , then, from (2.14),

$$|p_n(x)| W_Q(x) \geq c a_n^{-1/2}, \quad x \in I_{jn} \cap [0, \delta a_n],$$

where  $c$  is independent of  $n$ . Thus by (3.3)

$$c \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{I_{jn} \cap [0, \delta a_n]} |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \leq A. \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, we also see that by exchanging  $n$  for  $n+1$  in (3.4) we have

$$c \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \int_{I_{j, n+1} \cap [0, \delta a_{n+1}]} |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \leq A. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, we can show that for a certain  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\bar{I}_{jn}(\varepsilon) \cap [0, \delta a_n] \subset I_{j, n+1}(\varepsilon) \cap [0, \delta a_{n+1}]. \quad (3.6)$$

In fact, by (2.6) for  $|x_{j,n+1}| \leq \delta a_n$  there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} ca_n^{-1/2} &\leq |p_n(x_{j,n+1}) W_Q(x_{j,n+1})| \\ &= |\{p_n(x_{j,n+1})/(x_{j,n+1} - x_{jn})\} W_Q(x_{j,n+1})| (x_{j,n+1} - x_{jn}) \\ &\leq c |p'_n(\xi) W_Q(\xi)| (x_{j,n+1} - x_{jn}) \\ &\leq cna_n^{-3/2}(x_{j,n+1} - x_{jn}) \end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma 2.3). Thus we see

$$ca_n/n \leq (x_{j,n+1} - x_{jn}),$$

consequently, we have

$$x_{j,n+1} - x_{jn}, x_{j-1,n} - x_{j,n+1} \sim a_n/n.$$

This means (3.6). Hence by (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=2}^n \int_{I_{jn} \cap [0, \delta a_n]} |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \int_{I_{j,n+1} \cap [0, \delta a_{n+1}]} |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \leq A. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.7), we conclude

$$\int_0^{\delta a_n} |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \leq cA,$$

where  $c$  is independent of  $n$ . Therefore

$$\int_0^{\infty} |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+x)|^p W(x) dx \leq cA. \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 |W_Q^{-1}(x)/(1+|x|)|^p W(x) dx \leq cA. \quad (3.9)$$

Consequently, by (3.8) and (3.9) we have (1.3), that is, the theorem follows. ■

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very indebted to professor D. S. Lubinsky for his useful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. W. C. Bauldry, Estimates of Christoffel functions of generalized Freud-type weights, *J. Approx. Theory* **46** (1986), 217–229.
2. S. S. Bonan and D. S. Clark, Estimates of the Hermite and the Freud polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **63** (1990), 210–224.
3. G. Freud, On Markov–Bernstein-type inequalities and their applications, *J. Approx. Theory* **19** (1977), 22–37.
4. A. Knopfmacher and D. S. Lubinsky, Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation for Freud’s weights with application to product integration rules, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **17** (1987), 79–103.
5. A. Knopfmacher, Pointwise convergence of Lagrange interpolation based at the zeros of orthonormal polynomials with respect to weights on the whole real line, *J. Approx. Theory* **51** (1987), 231–253.
6. A. L. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Christoffel functions, orthogonal polynomials, and Nevai’s conjecture for Freud weights, *Constr. Approx.* **8** (1992), 463–535.
7. G. G. Lorentz, “Approximation of Functions,” Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1966.
8. D. S. Lubinsky, A weighted polynomial inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1984), 263–267.
9. D. S. Lubinsky and D. M. Matijila, Necessary and sufficient conditions for mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation for Freud weights, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **26**, No. 1 (1995), 238–262.
10. H. N. Mhaskar, Bounds for certain Freud-type orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **63** (1990), 238–254.
11. P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1979), 213.
12. P. Nevai, Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation, II, *J. Approx. Theory* **30** (1980), 263–276.
13. P. Nevai, Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials, and Christoffel functions: A case study, *J. Approx. Theory* **48** (1986), 3–167.